

1 Russell L. Johnson (SBN 053833)  
*rljohnson@sidley.com*  
2 Peter H. Kang (SBN 158101)  
*pkang@sidley.com*  
3 Teague I. Donahey (SBN 197531)  
*tdonahey@sidley.com*  
4 SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP  
555 California Street  
5 San Francisco, California 94104  
Telephone: (415) 772-1200  
6 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
AMKOR TECHNOLOGY, INC.

9 William J. Bohler (SBN 141970)  
*wjbohler@townsend.com*  
10 Gregory S. Bishop (SBN 184680)  
*gsbishop@townsend.com*  
11 Igor Shoiket (SBN 190066)  
*ishoiket@townsend.com*  
12 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP  
379 Lytton Avenue  
13 Palo Alto, California 94301  
Telephone: (650) 326-2400  
14 Facsimile: (650) 326-2422

15 Attorneys for Defendants  
CARSEM (M) SDN BHD, CARSEM SEMICONDUCTOR SDN BHD, and CARSEM INC.,



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

1 Pursuant to the Court's request, the parties hereby submit a Third Joint Status Report  
 2 Concerning Stay of Proceedings. The parties are continuing to litigate their dispute before the U.S.  
 3 International Trade Commission ("ITC") in the investigation styled *In the Matter of Certain*  
 4 *Encapsulated Integrated Circuit Devices and Products Containing Same*, ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-501.  
 5 The Administrative Law Judge issued an Initial Determination on November 18, 2004. Thereafter,  
 6 on February 1, 2005, the ITC decided to review the ALJ's Initial Determination and extended the  
 7 target date for completion of the investigation until March 31, 2005. After review, the ITC  
 8 modified the ALJ's claim construction regarding certain terms, and remanded the investigation back  
 9 to the ALJ for further findings in light of these changes. On July 26, 2005, the ITC issued an Order  
 10 extending the target date for completion of the investigation to February 9, 2006.

11 Therefore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1659, the parties respectfully request that the Stay Order  
 12 remain in effect until the determination of the Commission in the ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-501 becomes  
 13 final.

14 Pursuant to General Order 45(X), Plaintiff obtained concurrence in the filing of this  
 15 document from counsel for Defendants, as listed below.

16 Dated: August 1, 2005

SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP

17  
 18 By: /s/ Teague I. Donahey

19 Teague I. Donahey  
 20 Attorneys For Plaintiff  
 21 Amkor Technology, Inc.

22 Dated: August 1, 2005

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP

23  
 24 By: /s/ Igor Shoiket

25 Igor Shoiket  
 26 Attorneys For Defendants  
 27 Carsem (M) Sdn Bhd, Carsem Semiconductor  
 28 Sdn Bhd, and Carsem Inc.